

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,972	08/22/2003	Steve Roby	DKT03002US 1068	
7590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
BorgWarner, Inc.			KENNY, STEPHEN	
ATTN: Patent Department Powertrain Technical Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3800 Automation Avenue, Suite 100 Auburn Hills, MI 48326-1782			3726	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/646,972	ROBY, STEVE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J Kenny	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original sheet are considered to by the Example 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b)objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/646,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the limitation of step (d) in claim 2 (i.e. using a molten non-ferrous metal having a melting point of less than 700°C) needs support in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "said raw vaned diffuser" in line 1, and "said hub" in line

3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu (US Patent No 3860360).

Yu discloses casting in a plaster mold (column 1, line 66) a vaned diffuser (column 3, line 49+) for a turbocharger wherein the diffuser has an axis for separating the diffuser from a mold (i.e. the axis perpendicular to w-axis in Figure 2) by translation (which is an inherent step in casting – that is, the object casted, must be removed from the mold in order to perform its function and have any utility).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu in view of Galliger (US Patent No 6019927).

Regarding claim 1, in alternative to the USC 102 rejection above, Yu discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly stating that the casting medium is plaster. Galliger

Application/Control Number: 10/646,972

Art Unit: 3726

discloses using plaster mold casting to form turbomachinery components (column 1, line 61).

Page 4

The use of such plaster is advantageous in that it is low cost and has favorable thermal

characteristics. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to form a diffuser by casting as disclosed by Yu, wherein the

casting is formed with a plaster slurry as taught by Galliger in order to realize the advantages

above.

Regarding claim 3, the examiner takes official notice that it is well established to form

diffusers out of aluminum or alloys thereof. The use of aluminum is advantageous in

turbomachinery due to its light weight. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form Yu's diffuser out of aluminum to

reduce weight and drag.

Regarding claim 11, Galliger discloses that the casted component is gripped for

machining by the hub (28).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-11 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on the attached PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered

pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J Kenny whose telephone number is 571-272-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on mon - fri 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sk Sikerny

DAVID P. BRYANT RIMARY EXAMINER